

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/619,465	07/16/2003	Jean Souetre	Q76348	7359	
23373	7590 04/12/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			SICONOLFI, ROBERT		
SUITE 800	YLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20037		3683		

DATE MAILED: 04/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/619,465	SOUETRE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Robert A. Siconolfi	3683	

	Robert A. Siconolli	3003	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 28 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	in the final rejection, whi g date of the final rejection	ichever is later. In on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further con (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)	-	jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mphant Amendment	(1 TOL-024).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	4 b - 6 - 1 - 2 - 2 - 4 b - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 6 Clion - Al		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ((PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N		
····· — · ······		Robert A. Siconoffi Roman Examiner	A. 4/10/06

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3683

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended claim presents new limitations not precously presented and requires further consideration and search. The examiner notes that applicant has argued that Barwanger has an assymetrical stiffness profile in arguments presented in the remarks filed on 10/13/05 (page 2). As such, it seems that Berwanger might teach a different stiffness along orthogonal axes.